

Investigating noise signatures of polymer adsorption using nanopores

Stuart F Knowles¹, Nicole E Weckman¹, Vincent J Lim¹,
Douwe J Bonthuis², Ulrich F Keyser¹, Alice L Thorneywork¹

¹ *Cavendish Laboratory, Department of Physics, University of Cambridge, JJ Thomson Avenue, Cambridge, CB3 0HE, United Kingdom*

² *Institute of Theoretical and Computational Physics, Graz University of Technology, 8010 Graz, Austria*

Abstract

The modification of surface properties by polymer adsorption is a widely used technique to tune interactions in molecular experiments, such as resistive pulse sensing with nanopores. Here, we investigate how variation of the ionic current noise through glass nanopores reflects the passive adsorption of short, neutral polymers to the pore surface. We find that the power spectral density of the noise shows a characteristic change upon adsorption of polymer, however the magnitude of this increase is strongly dependent on both polymer length and salt concentration. In particular, for short polymers at low salt concentrations no change in noise is observed, despite verification of comparable adsorption in these systems using quartz crystal microbalance with dissipation monitoring measurements. We propose that the characteristic noise is generated by small current changes associated with the movement of polymers on and off the nanopore surface and perform simple simulations to assess the feasibility of this model. Excellent agreement is obtained with experimental data using physically motivated parameters for the adsorption strength and range, supporting our molecular interpretation of the observed noise signatures in our data. This highlights the value of analysing system fluctuations as a method of elucidating molecular processes, and paves the way to using noise spectral analysis for in situ characterisation of functionalised nanopores.

1 Introduction

Resistive pulse sensing has for many years provided insight into the transport of molecules in confined environments [1, 2]. The basic premise of this technique is to identify changes in the ionic current flow through a small aperture or pore that are associated with passage of a charged analyte or polymer through the system. While resistive pulse sensing was initially developed to count particles at the microscale [3], the exploitation of nanoscale pores, either biological [4, 5], or solid-state [6, 7] now allows for such varied applications as sub-Angstrom particle sizing [8], DNA sequencing [9], or digital data storage [10]. The technique has seen widespread use for studies of polymer transport, and poly-ethylene glycol (PEG) [11] in particular has frequently been used as a model polymer for resistive pulse sensing with biological pores [4, 12, 13]. These studies have provided great insight both into the transport properties of the polymer and into details of the pore itself. For example, ionic current traces through biological pores such as α -hemolysin and alamethicin have been investigated to measure the number of polymer molecules that can partition into a pore, thereby providing a novel route to probing the substructure of these biological pores [14]. However, studying the changes in net ionic current is not the only way to investigate the changes in the electrical properties of the pore [15, 16]. The fluctuations, or noise, in the ionic current trace can provide further information on the interactions of PEG

with these nanopores.

In solid state nanopores, PEG has found other applications, being used to enhance transport of other molecules by suppressing electro-osmotic flow (EOF) [17]. EOF is more significant for more narrow channels [18], and thus at the exit of a nanocapillary EOF forms significant jets of fluid extending into the bulk [19]. These jets push analyte molecules away from the pore mouth, making it significantly less likely for those molecules to enter the electrophoretic capture radius of the pore, and thus decreasing the translocation frequency in such systems [20, 21, 22]. Simulation results suggest that the suppression of EOF by an uncharged polymer arises from weak adsorption of the polymer onto the channel walls, such that the polymer adopts a conformation with loops extending away from the wall. This adsorption disrupts the coupling between the Debye layer and the bulk fluid, preventing the momentum transfer from one to the other that is crucial for EOF to occur [23, 24]. A system which satisfies this requirement is in general not simple to design. The Debye layer can be easily tuned by varying salt concentration, however for short polymers such as those we consider here, the polymer loop length is highly sensitive to the adsorption kinetics of the monomer units, and is difficult to predict analytically [25].

The effects of these adsorbed polymer layers on the noise properties of the pore are yet to be considered in detail, however [26]. Noise in nanopore systems is




Figure 1: Measuring the change in ionic current noise upon introducing PEG into a glass nanopore system. We measure an extended ionic current trace with KCl solutions in each reservoir, and extract spectral information from the trace (left). Solution containing PEG is then flushed into one of the reservoirs, and polymers are pulled into the pore using EOF. The current measurement is then repeated (right).

known to be dominated by surface effects [27, 28], and by creating an adsorbed polymer layer we alter the surface properties. In this way we might expect that adding polymer solution to the nanopore system would have a pronounced effect on the noise properties of the system. Understanding any such change is key for sensing techniques, because increased noise can reduce the ability to observe events of interest, introducing a trade-off between the event frequency and sensitivity.

Here, we explore the effect of adsorbed polymer layers on the noise properties of glass nanopores. We quantify the noise by calculation of the power spectral density for the same glass nanopore before and after addition of PEG to the electrolyte solution. Importantly, we consider the behaviour in KCl solutions of varying concentrations and with PEG molecules of different lengths. We find that following the addition of PEG to the system, the fluctuations below 10kHz are greatly increased for systems with higher salt concentrations and longer PEG molecules. However, at the lowest salt concentration considered (50mM) and with shorter PEG molecules, no change in fluctuations was observed, and we perform quartz crystal microbalance with dissipation (QCM-D) experiments to confirm that the PEG adsorbs even in those cases. We simulate the fluctuations in the numbers of adsorbed polymers, and find that with physically realistic parameters these simulations provide power spectra which match well with those measured. We therefore concluded that the excess noise is a result of ad/desorption processes.

2 Methods

Glass nanopores with a nominal diameter of 16 ± 2 nm were pulled from quartz glass capillaries using a commercial pipette puller (Sutter). The pores were then mounted into poly-dimethylsiloxane (PDMS) chips with 16 pores per chip, as previously reported [28]. Note that each conical capillary tip lies in a common central reservoir, with the opposite end in a smaller

reservoir allowing for measurement across each capillary individually. A schematic diagram of a single capillary is shown in figure 1, where the electrodes and capillary tip are shown. A schematic diagram of the whole chip is presented in the SI. An Axopatch 200B patch-clamp amplifier was used for current measurement and data acquisition was performed using a home made LabVIEW visual interface, recording at 250kHz with the analogue filter operating at 100kHz. This bandwidth was larger than necessary, and was chosen to ensure that spectral data was acquired for all possibly relevant frequencies without corruption by filter effects or aliasing. The current traces shown here have been digitally filtered to a lower bandwidth of 5kHz to match the frequency domain of the presented spectra. To acquire spectral data, current traces with a duration of 3 minutes were recorded, and power spectra calculated using Welch’s method, with a minimum frequency component of ~ 0.1 Hz. Previous work [28] has shown that below this frequency the noise is dominated by slow surface charge fluctuations, and that above ~ 5 kHz the noise is dominated by capacitive effects across the capillary wall. This means that all behaviour relevant to the transport properties of the pore should be captured within the intermediate frequency range we measure here: 0.1Hz – 5kHz.

In order to investigate the effects of PEG on the current fluctuations, chips are first filled with a solution of KCl of the desired concentration. Current-voltage (IV) curves and a current trace at 500mV were then measured for the pore of interest – “Before” in figure 1. Next, the central reservoir of the chip (containing the capillary tips) was flushed through with a solution at the same salt concentration also containing PEG. A large negative voltage (-500mV) was then applied across the pore for 5 minutes. At pH 6 in KCl, a negative applied voltage leads to an electro-osmotic flow (EOF) directed into the pore [18] causing PEG molecules to be pulled inside as shown in figure 1. IV curves and extended current traces for spectral data were then recorded following the same protocol as be-

fore the addition of PEG – “After” in figure 1. This method allowed direct quantification of the effects of PEG on the noise properties of the pore whilst controlling for pore-to-pore variations. PEG weights of 1000, 8000 and 20000 (Merck), with radii of gyration 1.1nm, 3.7nm, and 6.2nm respectively were chosen to minimise crossover due to polydispersity [29]. Solutions were prepared with PEG concentration such that the monomer density was constant between all experiments: 400 μ M PEG 1000, 50 μ M PEG 8000, 20 μ M PEG 20000. This corresponds to approximately 0.04%w/w PEG.

We quantify the adsorption of PEG molecules onto glass surfaces using quartz crystal microbalance with dissipation monitoring (QCM-D). QCM-D operates by measuring the resonant frequency (and overtones) of a piezoelectric crystal; when a species adsorbs onto the crystal, the mass changes, causing measurable shifts in the resonant frequency which allow the adsorbed mass to be quantified. QCM-D additionally measures the energy dissipation in the system, allowing further characterisation of the adsorbed layer. Our QCM-D experiments were performed in an E4 QCM-D unit from Q-Sense (Q-Sense AB, Göteborg, Sweden) using AT-cut quartz crystals (QSX303, SiO₂ surface) with a fundamental frequency of 5MHz. Before each experiment the QCM-D unit and the crystals were cleaned in 2% SDS solution, then thoroughly rinsed with DI water and dried with nitrogen gas. The crystals were exposed to ultraviolet light for 15 minutes to finish cleaning before mounting in the QCM-D unit. A constant flow rate was maintained with a peristaltic pump, and frequency and dissipation baselines in salt solution were allowed to stabilise before the PEG was introduced. Crucially, the quartz crystals used for QCM-D are made of the same material as is used to fabricate our nanopores, allowing good comparison of the adsorption properties.

3 Results

Figure 2 (a) shows short sections of current traces from nanopores in 50mM and 500mM KCl, both before and after the addition of PEG 8000. The mean current values have been aligned for a better comparison of the noise levels. We see that in 50mM KCl, the current traces appear to be similar, whereas in 500mM KCl, the noise markedly increases upon addition of PEG.

For a clearer quantification of the noise properties of these current traces, we move to the frequency domain and look at the power spectral density (PSD) of the current fluctuations. Figure 2 (b) shows the PSDs for the fluctuations in the four traces shown in panel (a). In line with the current traces in figure 2 (a), it can clearly be seen that the noise properties do not change upon addition of PEG for 50mM KCl. This additionally provides verification that the pores are highly stable over the time scale separating the measurements before and after addition of PEG (up to one hour). The corresponding curves for 500mM KCl, however, show a




Figure 2: Noise properties observed in a nanopores with and without PEG 8000 in 50mM and 500mM KCl. (a) Short sections of the ionic current traces before and after, digitally filtered to a 5kHz bandwidth. The current traces are centered to their own mean current, to emphasise differences in noise properties. (b) Concomitant changes in the power spectral density of the noise.

striking change. The PSD is greatly increased above ~ 0.5 Hz, with the largest difference – more than an order of magnitude – occurring at ~ 10 Hz. We qualitatively refer to this increase in spectral density as ΔS , with $\Delta S = 0$ referring to no change in the spectral density, and from here onwards use S to refer to a PSD from a solution containing PEG, and S_0 to the corresponding PSD without PEG. The two PSD curves coincide again at sufficiently high frequencies (~ 30 kHz), as would be expected from previous work showing that the high-frequency noise is dominated by capacitive effects away from the pore [28]. Since the noise at these high frequencies is independent of pore effects, we do not show it here, however full spectra are presented in the supplementary information.

It is known that PEG can passively adsorb onto the surface of glass nanopores, affecting the electro-osmotic flow properties of the pore [24, 17]. This adsorption is




Figure 3: Frequency (ΔF) and dissipation (ΔD) shifts from QCM-D measurements for $50\mu\text{M}$ PEG 8000 in 50mM (right) and 500mM (left) KCl. Three harmonics are shown for each experiment to demonstrate reliability, and all values are rescaled by the harmonic number (n). The PEG arrives in the solution at $t = 10\text{min}$, at which point the resonant frequency decreases ($\Delta F < 0$), indicating an adsorbed mass.

distinct from the often-used technique of grafting polymers onto surfaces: firstly, weaker surface interactions possibly allow for polymers to move on and off the surface, and secondly adsorbed polymers can be bound to the surface at any point along their length. This adsorption is thus inherently less controlled than grafting and highly sensitive to the system conditions. As such, the natural question is whether, when $\Delta S = 0$, any PEG has adsorbed at all. To probe this we measured the adsorption of our PEG molecules to quartz glass using QCM-D. The quartz crystals used in QCM-D are the same material as the nanopores, and the surfaces are prepared in the same manner for both experiments. We compare the two situations shown in figure 2: PEG 8000 at high and low salt. Figure 3 shows traces for both the frequency shift (ΔF) and dissipation shift (ΔD) of the 3rd, 5th and 7th harmonic as a function of time. These are the most reliable harmonics, since higher overtones have low sensitivity, and the fundamental is oversensitive to potentially spurious effects. From 0-10 minutes, the plots show a stable baseline for the system in salt solution only. The arrival of the solution containing PEG can be clearly seen at $t = 10$ minutes as a drop in the ΔF curve and an increase in ΔD . Since the frequency shift is directly related to an adsorbed mass, this plot shows that in these conditions, PEG adsorbs to quartz glass. Notably, there is excellent agreement between the curves at the two different salt concentrations. This implies not only that similar amounts of PEG adsorb (agreement in the ΔF curves), but also that the adsorbed PEG has similar conformations in

both situations (agreement in the ΔD curves). This confirms that the variation in noise is not due to differing adsorption in different salt concentrations.

Having established that a lack of excess noise is not due to a lack of adsorbed PEG, we broaden our scope to investigate the effect of various parameters on the excess noise. Figure 4 (a) shows the effect of varying the weight of the PEG at an intermediate salt concentration of 150mM. In each case the monomer concentration (weight percent) of PEG is kept constant. It can be seen that increasing the length of PEG increases the excess noise, similarly to increasing salt concentration. It can also be seen that at this salt concentration the excess noise for PEG 8000 is present, though less than in 500mM salt. Figure 4 (b) shows the difference $S - S_0$ for all combinations of salt concentration and PEG length, allowing a clearer picture of the excess noise. The spectra have been curtailed to the frequency domain in which they are well defined (ie, when $S \gg S_0$), and curves for PEG 1000 and PEG 8000 in 50mM KCl are not shown, since in those cases $\Delta S = 0$. The shape of the excess noise is characteristic and, to first order, is reasonably well approximated by a curve with the form:

$$S_{model}(f) = \frac{A}{1 + (f/f_0)^\gamma} \quad (1)$$

In this equation we can associate A with an amplitude of the spectrum, f_0 with a corner frequency, and γ with a limiting slope at high frequencies. Comparing these with the spectra in figure 4, we can see that γ is at most 1.4, and f_0 is dependent on PEG length, with the range of f_0 marked as vertical grey bands on the figure.

The timescale of f_0 reveals information about the mechanism. As can be seen in figure 4 (b), we can see that the corner frequency of the noise is on the order of 10-100Hz, giving a timescale of milliseconds for the noise generating mechanism. This immediately rules out both polymer conformation effects and ionic association/dissociation noise, since the timescale for both those processes is on the scale of nanoseconds. Two processes occur on this timescale: diffusion of the polymers through the pores and ad/desorption of the polymers from the walls. In the case without adsorption, the PSD of fluctuations in the number of polymers in a cylindrical channel have a superficially correct shape [30]. However, they are predicted to have $\gamma > 1.5$, and the timescale, whilst closer than that of ionic effects, is incorrect by an order of magnitude when compared to our experiments. Most importantly, the QCM-D experiments confirm that adsorption dominates in our system, so we believe that the second explanation holds more merit here: the excess noise we observe is due to polymer ad/desorption effects, which leads us to provide a tentative mechanism behind the noise increase. As suggested by the literature [24] and confirmed by the QCM-D experiments, the polymers weakly and reversibly adsorb to the surface with loops of polymer extending into the solution. When adsorbed in this




Figure 4: (a) The effect of changing PEG length on the excess noise. Spectra are shown for before and after introduction of PEG (as in figure 2 (b)) for $400\mu\text{M}$ PEG1000, $50\mu\text{M}$ PEG 8000, and $20\mu\text{M}$ PEG 20000, all in 150mM KCl. (b) The excess noise, $S - S_0$, for all three PEG lengths at all salt concentrations. The spectra have been curtailed to the regions where the difference is significant, and it can be seen that they are reasonably approximated by the form given in equation 1, with the range of corner frequencies (f_0) shown as vertical grey bands. A typical limiting slope of 1.4 is marked. When no excess noise was observed (PEG 1000 and 8000 in 50mM KCl), the difference is not shown. Separate spectra for all parameter combinations are shown in figure 5

way, a polymer will locally block surface current, thus inducing a small change in net current. The net effect of many polymers adsorbing thus reduces the current, but by an amount which is undetectable with both the change in bulk conductivity from having PEG in solution and the slow baseline shifts that are ubiquitous in nanopore experiments. However, since the adsorption is reversible, the movement of polymers on and off the surface increases current fluctuations measurably, and this causes the observed change in the PSD of the noise. We also note that a similar noise increase was observed upon repeating the experiment with a much greater concentration of PEG (see SI), implying that the adsorption is not limited by PEG availability.

To assess the feasibility of this model, we have simulated particles reversibly adsorbing onto the walls of a cylindrical channel using the Metropolis Monte Carlo method, to obtain the power spectrum of the fluctuations in the number of adsorbed particles. This is the simplest viable model for our system: we neglect the slight taper of the nanopore ($\sim 4^\circ$) and polymer-polymer interactions, and we use a highly simplified square well adsorption potential:

$$V_{sim} = \begin{cases} -\alpha & r < r^* \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

which is also shown in figure 5 (a, inset), with full sim-

ulation details given in the SI. We note that here we put the channel wall at $r = 0$ and the centre at $r = R$, which is unconventional but intuitive here. There are two input parameters to the simulations which between them define the adsorption potential: the adsorption energy α , in units of $k_B T$, and the adsorption range r^* . From the simulations we obtain the power spectra of the same functional form as equation 1, and by varying the input parameters we can obtain spectra with different f_0 and γ . The amplitude A is free, since there will be a scaling between the number fluctuations and the current fluctuations determined by the average change in current that occurs when a single polymer adsorbs.

Figure 5 (a) shows the different values of f_0 (top) and γ (bottom) obtained for combinations of r^* and α . It can be seen that f_0 decreases with increasing r^* and α , as does γ , however the dependence on r^* is much weaker for γ . The required ranges for f_0 are shown as grey bands in the top panel, labelled by PEG weight, and the observed limit of $\gamma \lesssim 1.4$ is marked as a horizontal dashed line in the bottom panel. We look first at obtaining the correct corner frequency f_0 , and it can be seen that although α has some effect on the corner frequency obtained, the required values of f_0 put strong constraints on r^* . In particular, for PEG 20000 r^* must be approximately 6nm. The radius of gyration (R_g) for PEG 20000 is 6.2nm, and for $r^* = 6.2\text{nm}$ we require $\alpha \sim 3k_B T$, a physically realistic value for weak




Figure 5: (a) The values of f_0 and γ obtained from different combinations of simulation parameters. Each point refers to a single simulated system. In the top panel the corner frequency ranges for each PEG weight are shown as grey bands, and the adsorption potential (equation 2) is shown in an inset. In the lower panel, the upper observed limit of $\gamma = 1.4$ is marked. (b) A comparison between the measured spectra and simulated spectra. The spectra for all parameter combinations. Spectra measured before and after the introduction of PEG are shown in black and red respectively, and the simulations, calculated by simulating the excess noise and combining that with the spectrum obtained without PEG, are shown in blue, with the confidence interval shaded. Columns have constant PEG weight, indicated at the top of the panel, and rows have constant salt concentration, indicated on the left hand side. The monomer concentration of PEG is constant across all panels, so variation is due solely to the degree of polymerisation.

adsorption. These values validate our model, as they imply that when the polymer comes into contact with the wall, it weakly adsorbs, without requiring strong contact or having long range attraction. Extending this logic to our other PEG weights, we see from the requirements on f_0 that we can get good agreement for PEG 1000 & 8000 with $r^* = 1.2\text{nm}$ and 3.8nm respectively, which are the radii of gyration for those PEG weights respectively, further adding credence to this interpretation of r^* . By inspecting the bottom panel of figure 5 (a), we see that γ has only weak dependence on r^* , but fulfilling the $\gamma \lesssim 1.4$ requires higher values of α in the range of 3 to $4k_B T$, consistent with the constraints placed by f_0 .

Having obtained simulation parameters which produce the required spectral shape, and confirmed that those parameters are physically realistic, we look to combine the simulations with the data obtained without PEG to produce a global fit. The process for this is as follows: we simulate the PSD for the fluctuations in the number of adsorbed polymers using $r^* = R_g$ and $\alpha = 3k_B T$. We then scale the resultant spectrum and sum it with the spectrum without PEG to obtain the best fit possi-

ble. The results are shown in figure 5 (b), where spectra are presented for each combination of experimental parameters (PEG weight and salt concentration). Columns have constant PEG weight, and rows have constant salt concentration. Spectra without PEG are shown in black, with PEG in red, and the simulations are shown in blue, with shaded confidence intervals. It can be seen that there is excellent agreement between the experiment and simulations, validating the model and supporting the idea that the change in noise is due to polymer ad/desorption effects.

4 Discussion

In seeking an explanation for the variation in the noise properties we can draw several important conclusions from the change in the shape of the PSD when PEG is introduced. Firstly, the qualitative difference in shape between the cases when PEG is absent/present strongly implies that the increase in noise is due to some noise-generating mechanism not present in the bare pore, rather than an enhancement in an existing mechanism. Further supporting this point is the fact that the spec-

tra rejoin at low enough frequency, implying that the surface generated $1/f$ noise intrinsic to the pore remains unaltered. Secondly, the observed trends – that ΔS decreases gradually as we decrease either salt concentration or PEG length – suggest that absence of an increase seen in 50mM KCl for PEGs 1000 and 8000 may not indicate that the noise-generating mechanism is absent, rather that in those conditions it is negligible when compared to the intrinsic noise of the pore. This point, that the noise generating mechanism is present in all cases, is supported by the QCM-D experiments. They show that the PEG molecules adsorbed at both high and low salt with the same conformation.

When assessing the viability of our simulated model we consider the values of the simulation parameters that are required to achieve good agreement with our experiments. These two parameters are r^* – the adsorption range, and α – the adsorption energy (in units of $k_B T$). Given that we know the polymers adsorb reversibly, we require that α is on the order of $1k_B T$, since if it were significantly greater the polymers would permanently adsorb, likely flat against the surface (the “pancake” conformation) and if it were significantly less the polymers would not adsorb at all. Similarly with the adsorption range r^* , we require that the value used in the simulations is of the order of the polymer size. As can be seen in figure 5 (a), the values we input into our simulations to obtain the correct spectral shape are $\alpha \approx 3k_B T$ and $r^* = R_g$, where R_g is the radius of gyration for the particular PEG weight. These parameters match remarkably well with their physical meanings: $\alpha = 3k_B T$ is weak adsorption, and $r^* = R_g$ implies that the polymers adsorb when they touch the wall. Given the highly simplified nature of the model, the fact that the parameters match so well with what we would expect on a physical basis strongly supports the model.

When fitting the simulations to our experiment, we leave the fitting parameter, A (equation 1), as a free parameter. That is, unlike γ and f_0 , we do not here try to link it to physical properties of the system. As noted previously, it would correspond to some measure of the current change upon polymer adsorption, but would also be influenced by average occupancy number and potentially other factors such as pH. Given that the current change would be prohibitively difficult to predict from first principles, and even if that were determined there would still be many unknowns, we do not attempt here to draw conclusions from the values we obtain for A . These values are presented in the SI, however.

5 Conclusion

We have investigated the effects of adsorbed polymer coatings on the noise properties of glass nanopores. The change in the power spectral density of the noise was investigated by measuring before and after flushing

pores with PEG solutions in KCl of varying concentration. For PEG 8000 in 500mM KCl, an increase in the spectral density of the noise was found between $\sim 1\text{Hz}$ and $\sim 10\text{kHz}$, however, when the salt concentration was lowered, the excess noise ceased to be observed. This prompted further investigations into the effects of varying both salt concentration and PEG length.

The increase in noise was found to be highly sensitive to both the length of the PEG molecules and to the salt concentration. QCM-D measurements confirmed that the PEG molecules adsorb in all cases, ruling out the possibility that the lack of noise increase is due to a lack of adsorbed polymer. The QCM-D also confirmed that the conformation of the adsorbed polymers is comparable in all conditions considered. We posited that the excess noise was due to fluctuations in the number of polymers adsorbed to the inner surface of the pore, and performed simple simulations to assess this model. The simulations showed that using an adsorption potential with a range equal to the radius of gyration of the PEG and an adsorption energy of $3k_B T$ gave excellent agreement with experiments. The fact that we get such good agreement with the most simple viable model of our system strongly suggests that ad/desorption processes are at the core of the excess noise. This strongly suggests that in the situations where excess noise is not observed, it is still present, but negligible compared to the intrinsic noise of the pore.

This work elucidates the relationship between adsorbed polymer layers in solid-state nanopores, and the ionic current noise properties of those pores. This will facilitate better design of sensing experiments, with polymer layers tailor made to reduce EOF whilst minimising the increase in noise. In addition, we have shown that noise analysis is a powerful tool for assessing nanoscale dynamics, and has unrealised potential to help create functionalised nanopores, both as physical models of biological pores and as advanced single-molecule sensors.

References

- [1] Cees Dekker. Solid-state nanopores. *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2(4):209–215, 2007.
- [2] Tim Albrecht. Single-molecule analysis with solid-state nanopores. *Annu. Rev. Anal. Chem.*, 12:371–387, 2019.
- [3] R. W. DeBlois and C. P. Bean. Counting and sizing of submicron particles by the resistive pulse technique. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 41:909–916, 1970.
- [4] Sergey M Bezrukov, Igor Vodyanoy, Rafik A Brutyan, and John J Kasianowicz. Dynamics and free energy of polymers partitioning into a nanoscale pore. *Macromolecules*, 29(26):8517–8522, 1996.
- [5] Robert P Johnson, Aaron M Fleming, Rukshan T Perera, Cynthia J Burrows, and Henry S White.

- Dynamics of a dna mismatch site held in confinement discriminate epigenetic modifications of cytosine. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 139(7):2750–2756, 2017.
- [6] Wenhong Li, Nicholas AW Bell, Silvia Hernández-Ainsa, Vivek V Thacker, Alana M Thackray, Raymond Bujdoso, and Ulrich F Keyser. Single protein molecule detection by glass nanopores. *ACS Nano*, 7(5):4129–4134, 2013.
- [7] Allison Squires, Evrim Atas, and Amit Meller. Nanopore sensing of individual transcription factors bound to dna. *Sci. Rep.*, 5:11643, 2015.
- [8] Martin A Edwards, Sean R German, Jeffrey E Dick, Allen J Bard, and Henry S White. High-speed multipass coulter counter with ultrahigh resolution. *ACS Nano*, 9(12):12274–12282, 2015.
- [9] Joshua Quick, Nicholas J Loman, Sophie Duraffour, Jared T Simpson, Ettore Severi, Lauren Cowley, Joseph Akoi Bore, Raymond Koundouno, Gytis Dudas, Amy Mikhail, et al. Real-time, portable genome sequencing for ebola surveillance. *Nature*, 530(7589):228–232, 2016.
- [10] Kaikai Chen, Jinglin Kong, Jinbo Zhu, Niklas Ermann, Paul Predki, and Ulrich F Keyser. Digital data storage using dna nanostructures and solid-state nanopores. *Nano Lett.*, 19(2):1210–1215, 2018.
- [11] J Milton Harris. *Poly (ethylene glycol) chemistry: biotechnical and biomedical applications*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [12] Sergey M Bezrukov, Igor Vodyanoy, and V Adrian Parsegian. Counting polymers moving through a single ion channel. *Nature*, 370(6487):279–281, 1994.
- [13] Tatiana K Rostovtseva, Ekaterina M Nestorovich, and Sergey M Bezrukov. Partitioning of differently sized poly (ethylene glycol) s into ompf porin. *Biophys. J.*, 82(1):160–169, 2002.
- [14] Ekaterina M Nestorovich, Vladimir A Karginov, and Sergey M Bezrukov. Polymer partitioning and ion selectivity suggest asymmetrical shape of the membrane pore formed by epsilon toxin. *Biophys. J.*, 99(3):782–789, 2010.
- [15] Richard A. Levis and James L. Rae. The use of quartz patch pipettes for low noise single channel recording. *Biophys. J.*, 65(4):1666–1677, 1993.
- [16] Jeffrey D. Uram, Kevin Ke, and Michael Mayer. Noise and bandwidth of current recordings from submicrometer pores and nanopores. *ACS Nano*, 2(5):857–872, 2008.
- [17] Niklas Ermann, Nikita Hanikel, Vivian Wang, Kaikai Chen, Nicole E Weckman, and Ulrich F Keyser. Promoting single-file dna translocations through nanopores using electro-osmotic flow. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 149(16):163311, 2018.
- [18] Reto B Schoch, Jongyoon Han, and Philippe Renaud. Transport phenomena in nanofluidics. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 80(3):839–883, 2008.
- [19] Sandip Ghosal, John D Sherwood, and Hsueh-Chia Chang. Solid-state nanopore hydrodynamics and transport. *Biomicrofluidics*, 13(1):011301, 2019.
- [20] Stijn Van Dorp, Ulrich F Keyser, Nynke H Dekker, Cees Dekker, and Serge G Lemay. Origin of the electrophoretic force on dna in solid-state nanopores. *Nature Physics*, 5(5):347–351, 2009.
- [21] Matthias Firnkes, Daniel Pedone, Jelena Knezevic, Markus Doblinger, and Ulrich Rant. Electrically facilitated translocations of proteins through silicon nitride nanopores: conjoint and competitive action of diffusion, electrophoresis, and electroosmosis. *Nano letters*, 10(6):2162–2167, 2010.
- [22] Mordjane Boukhet, Fabien Piguet, Hadjer Ouldali, Manuela Pastoriza-Gallego, Juan Pelta, and Abdelghani Oukhaled. Probing driving forces in aerolysin and α -hemolysin biological nanopores: electrophoresis versus electroosmosis. *Nanoscale*, 8(43):18352–18359, 2016.
- [23] Erin AS Doherty, K Derek Berglund, Brett A Buchholz, Igor V Kourkine, Todd M Przybycien, Robert D Tilton, and Annelise E Barron. Critical factors for high-performance physically adsorbed (dynamic) polymeric wall coatings for capillary electrophoresis of dna. *Electrophoresis*, 23(16):2766–2776, 2002.
- [24] Owen A Hickey, James L Harden, and Gary W Slater. Molecular dynamics simulations of optimal dynamic uncharged polymer coatings for quenching electro-osmotic flow. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 102(10):108304, 2009.
- [25] Pierre-Gilles De Gennes. *Scaling concepts in polymer physics*. Cornell university press, 1979.
- [26] Saurabh Awasthi, Pongsatorn Sriboonpeng, Cuifeng Ying, Jared Houghtaling, Ivan Shorubalko, Sanjin Marion, Sebastian James Davis, Laura Sola, Marcella Chiari, Aleksandra Radenovic, et al. Polymer coatings to minimize protein adsorption in solid-state nanopores. *Small Methods*, page 2000177, 2020.
- [27] Alessio Fragasso, Sergii Pud, and Cees Dekker. 1/f noise in solid-state nanopores is governed by access and surface regions. *Nanotechnology*, 30(39):395202, 2019.
- [28] SF Knowles, UF Keyser, and AL Thorneywork. Noise properties of rectifying and non-rectifying nanopores. *Nanotechnology*, 31(10):10LT01, 2019.
- [29] Natalia Ziebach, Stefan A Wiczorek, Tomasz Kalwarczyk, Marcin Fialkowski, and Robert Hołyst. Crossover regime for the diffusion of

nanoparticles in polyethylene glycol solutions: influence of the depletion layer. *Soft Matter*, 7(16):7181–7186, 2011.

- [30] Sergey M Bezrukov, Alexander M Berezhkovskii, Mark A Pustovoi, and Attila Szabo. Particle number fluctuations in a membrane channel. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 113(18):8206–8211, 2000.