

Mega-COV: A Billion-Scale Dataset of 65 Languages For COVID-19

Muhammad Abdul-Mageed, AbdelRahim Elmadany, Dinesh Pabbi, Kunal Verma, Rannie Lin

{muhammad.mageed, a.elmadany}@ubc.ca; dineshpabbi10@outlook.com
vkunal96@ece.ubc.ca, krieyalam@gmail.com

Natural Language Processing Lab
University of British Columbia

Abstract

We describe Mega-COV, a billion-scale dataset from Twitter for studying COVID-19. The dataset is diverse (covers 234 countries), longitudinal (goes as back as 2007), multilingual (comes in 65 languages), and has a significant number of location-tagged tweets ($\sim 32M$ tweets). We release tweet IDs from the dataset, hoping it will be useful for studying various phenomena related to the ongoing pandemic and accelerating viable solutions to associated problems.

1 Introduction

The seeds of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic are reported to have started as a local outbreak in Wuhan (Hubei, China) in December, 2019, but soon spread around the world (WHO, 2020). As of April 25, 2020, the number of confirmed cases around the world is estimated at 2,877,487.¹ In response to this ongoing public health emergency, researchers are mobilizing to track the pandemic and study its impact not only on human life, but possibly on all sorts of life in our planet. The different ways the pandemic has its footprint on our lives is a question that will probably be studied for years to come. Importantly, enabling such a scholarship by providing relevant data is an important endeavor. Toward this end, we focus our efforts on collecting Mega-COV, a billion-scale multilingual Twitter dataset with geo-location information.

¹Source the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University (JHU) dashboard at: <https://www.arcgis.com/apps/opsdashboard/index.html#/bda7594740fd40299423467b48e9ecf6>. The CSSE source provides real time updates on the location and number of confirmed COVID-19 cases. The number of confirmed cases witnessed exponential growth in some countries. Overall, the growth has also been fast. For example, in April 7, when we first documented that number in the current manuscript, the number of cases was at 1,390,511.

As several countries and regions around the world went into lockdown, the public health emergency has restricted physical aspects of human communication considerably. As hundreds of millions of people spend more time at home, communication over social media becomes more important than what it has ever been. In particular, the content of social media communication promises to capture useful aspects of the lives of the millions of people involved. Mega-COV is intended as a repository of such a content. In this version of our work, the largest part of the dataset is focused on North America. Our next release will, however, bring a significant update with the size of the dataset doubling based on additional content from outside North America. While other early efforts to collect Twitter data are ongoing, our goal is to complement these existing resources in significant ways. More specifically, we designed our methods to harvest a dataset that is unique in the following means:

- **Longitudinal Coverage:** We collect multiple data points (up to 3,200) from the same users, with a goal to allow for comparisons between the present and the past across the same users, communities, and geographical regions (Section 4).
- **Topic Diversity:** We do not restrict our collection to tweets carrying certain hashtags. This makes the data general enough to comprise content and topics directly related to COVID-19, regardless of existence of accompanying hashtags, as well as themes that may not be directly linked to the pandemic but where the pandemic may have some bearings which should be taken into account when investigating such themes. Section 6 and Section 7 provide a general overview of issues discussed in the dataset.
- **Language Diversity:** Since our method of

collection targets users, rather than hashtag-based content, Mega-COV is linguistically diverse. In theory, the dataset should comprise any language posted to Twitter by a user whose data we collect. Based on Twitter-assigned language codes, we identify a total of 65 languages (Section 5).

- **No Distribution Shift:** Related to two previous points, but from a machine learning perspective, collecting the data without conditioning on existence of specific (or any) hashtags avoids introducing distribution bias. In other words, the data can be used to study various phenomena in-the-wild. This warrants more generalizable findings and models.

Even though our current release of Mega-COV has more focus on North America, the set of users in the data have posted widely from outside this specific region. In fact, based on our location assignment criteria, we identify a substantial set of users to belong to other regions (see Section 3). As stated earlier, Mega-COV is continuously growing and our next release will bring $\sim$ half a billion tweets primarily from users from outside North America. The next version of the current paper will describe our next release. We will refer to our current release as Mega-COV V0.1, which we now describe.

2 Data

To collect a sufficiently large dataset, we put crawlers using the Twitter streaming API² on all world continents (i.e. Asia, Africa, North America, South America, Antarctica, Europe, and Australia) starting in early January, 2020. Our goal was to initially acquire a diverse set of tweets from which we can extract user IDs. We then iteratively crawl the user timelines (up to 3,200 tweets) using all collected IDs. This gives us data from April 10th, 2020 backwards, depending on how prolific of a poster a user is.³ For our current release (Mega-COV V0.1), we describe a total of 482,214 users who contribute 566,402,269 tweets. Our next release will add data from at least $\sim$ 600K more users whose data we have already collected but have not yet analyzed and hence do not include here.

²streaming API link: <https://github.com/tweepy/tweepy>

³See Table 3 for a breakdown.

	#tweets	#retweets	#replies	Total
All-Time	218M	195M	154M	566M
2020	56.6M	78M	61M	196M
#users	474.4K	482.2K	480K	482.2K

Table 1: Distribution of tweets, retweets, and replies in Mega-COV V0.1. (Numbers rounded).

Once the timeline tweets are collected, we put them through a pipeline that involves merging all user files into a single file. For most of our analyses in this paper, we remove re-tweets and replies.⁴ Table 1 offers a breakdown of the distribution of tweets, re-tweets, and replies in Mega-COV V0.1. Tweet IDs of the dataset are available at our GitHub⁵ and can be downloaded for research. The dataset repository will be updated semi-regularly.

3 Geographic Diversity

Tweet location can be associated with a specific ‘point’ location or a Twitter place with a ‘bounding box’ that describes a larger area such as city, town, or country. We refer to tweets in this category as *geo-located* tweets. Additionally, a smaller fraction of tweets are *geo-tagged* with longitude and latitude. As Table 2 shows, Mega-COV V0.1 has $\sim$ 32M geo-located tweets from $\sim$ 208K users and $\sim$ 7.1M geo-tagged tweets from $\sim$ 76.7K users. Table 2 also shows the distribution of tweets and users over Canada, the U.S., and other locations. For the year 2020, Mega-COV V0.1 has $\sim$ 10.5M geo-located tweets from $\sim$ 150K users and $\sim$ 980K geo-tagged tweets from $\sim$ 28.9K users.⁶

Figure 1 shows where the tweets were posted (cities on the left and actual point co-ordinates on the right). Mega-COV V0.1 has data posted from a total of 56,139 cities from 243 countries. Figure 2 shows the distribution (in terms of numbers) of cities from which the tweets were posted (i.e., geo-located tweets) over the top countries in (a) the whole dataset as well as (b) data posted during 2020. As Figure 2 shows, Mega-COV V0.1 comprises data posted from several European countries (e.g., France, the U.K., Germany, and Italy), Latin America (e.g., Brazil, Mexico), and Asia (e.g., Indonesia, India).

⁴See the different sections for specific details as to what parts of the dataset are analyzed.

⁵Accessible at: <https://github.com/UBC-NLP/megacov>.

⁶The dataset has 16,475 locations whose country could not be resolved to a given country.




Figure 1: World map coverage of Mega-COV V0.1. **(a) Left: Cities.** Each dot is a city. Contiguous cities of the same color belong to the same country. **(b) Right: Point co-ordinates.** Each dot is a point co-ordinate (longitude and Latitude) from which at least one tweet was posted.

	Geolocated	Tweeted From			Geotagged	Tweeted From		
		Canada	U.S.	Other		Canada	U.S.	Other
All-Time	31,996,454	10,360,126	19,930,856	1,705,472	7,102,347	3,067,864	3,338,464	696,019
All-Users	207,902	83,246	147,547	49,078	76,647	39,361	46,589	20,400
2020	10,527,121	1,690,561	8,541,224	295,336	980,821	230,776	667,207	82,838
2020-Users	150,194	51,496	101,806	49,078	28,973	12,724	17,389	4,407

Table 2: Mega-COV V0.1 geolocated and geotagged users and their corresponding tweets over North America vs. Other locations.




Figure 2: Geographical diversity in Mega-COV V0.1 based on geo-located data. We show distribution of number of cities over countries from all-time vs. during 2020. Only top countries are shown. In total, Mega-COV V0.1 has 56,139 cities from 243 countries.

4 Temporal Coverage

Mega-COV V0.1 aims at making it possible to compare user social content over time. Since we crawl user timelines, the dataset comprises content going back as early as 2007. Figure 3 shows the distribution of data over the period 2007-2020. Simple frequency of user posting shows a surge in Twitter use in the period of Jan-April 10th 2020⁷

⁷We started crawling the April data in April 7th for some users and in April 15th for others. Hence, April 10th is an approximation across these users. Still, for about 150K users, we have collected data before March 30th but will update the




Figure 3: Distribution of Mega-COV V0.1 data points (including a breakdown of tweets, replies, and retweets) over time.

compared to the same period in 2019 (as shown in Figure 4). Indeed, we identify 40.53% more posting during the first 3 months of 2020 compared to the same period in 2019, with the same trend seeming to continue in April. This is expected, both due to physical distancing and a wide range of human activity moving online.

Figure 3 also shows a breakdown of tweets, retweets, and replies. A striking discovery is that, for 2020, users are engaged in conversations with one another (short as these typically are in Twitter) more than tweeting directly to the platform. This is the first time this happens compared to any previous

dataset with more recent tweets from these users.

years, based on our dataset. In addition, for 2020, we also see users re-tweeting more than tweeting. This is also happening for the first time.




Figure 4: Frequency of tweeting during Jan-April 10th 2020 vs. Jan-April 2019.

5 Linguistic Diversity

We perform the language analysis based only on tweets ($n \sim 218M$), excluding re-tweets and replies.⁸ Based on Twitter-assigned language IDs, Mega-COV V0.1 comprises 65 languages. However, we suspect the dataset has other languages represented as well but those cannot be tagged using Twitter’s current language identification technology. For languages it cannot detect, Twitter also assigns an “und” (for “undefined”) tag. Mega-COV V0.1 has $\sim 15M$ ($\sim 6.9\%$) tweets tagged as “und”.⁹ As Figure 3 shows, English, French, and Spanish are (unsurprisingly) the top 3 languages in terms of the number of users who have posted in these languages in the dataset. These 3 languages are also the most frequent in terms of the number of actual tweets in the data as shown in Table 5. Overall, non-English comprises 16.43% of the tweets ($n=21M$).

6 Hashtag Content Analysis

Hashtags usually correlate with the topics users post about. We provide the top 30 hashtags in the data in Table 4. As the table shows, users tweet heavily about the pandemic using hashtags such as *COVID19*, *coronavirus*, *Coronavirus*, *COVID19*, *Covid19*, *covid19* and *StayAtHome*. Simple word clouds of hashtags from the various languages (Figure 6 shows clouds from the top 10 languages)

⁸This is an arbitrary decision, otherwise re-tweets and replies could counted as relevant for some tasks.

⁹We also plan to run a language id tool on the data and provide a comparison to Twitter-provided language tags.

Languages	#tweets	#users
English (en)	182,175,080	467,936
French (fr)	5,536,031	175,108
Spanish (es)	3,633,006	198,322
Portuguese (pt)	2,014,304	103,490
Tagalog (tl)	2,008,509	164,099
Japanese (ja)	1,349,226	12,151
Indonesian (in)	967,985	174,016
Arabic (ar)	928,318	5,991
Haiti (ht)	453,234	137,097
Turkish (tr)	360,435	51,022
German (de)	320,468	102,190
Italian (it)	281,391	96,525
Estonian (et)	277,024	118,875
Polish (pl)	243,767	62,663
Dutch (nl)	212,414	92,086
Russian (ru)	184,676	2,072
Korean (ko)	171,994	4,072
Chinese (zh)	170,265	4,003
Farsi (fa)	155,884	1,413
Catalan (ca)	143,229	64,255

Table 3: Top 20 languages in Mega-COV V0.1 based on tweets ($n=217,940,628$), excluding re-tweets and replies.

also show corona virus topics trending. Also observed in the various word clouds are **gaming** related hashtags such as *NowPlaydo*, *PSshare* and *NintendoSwitch*, thus showing how users may be spending a share of their time while staying home. We also note frequent occurrence of **political** hashtags in languages such Arabic, Farsi, Indian, and Urdu. This is in contrast to discussions in European languages where politics are not as visible. For example, in Urdu, discussions involving the army and border issues show up. In Indian languages such as Tamil and Hindi, posts focused on movies such as *Valimai*, TV shows such as *Big Boss*, doctors, and even fake news are observed along with the pandemic-related hashtags.

An interesting observation from the **Chinese language** word cloud is the use of hashtags such as *ChinaPneumonia* and *WuhanPneumonia* to refer to the pandemic. We did not observe these same hashtags in any of the other languages. Additionally, for some reason, **Apple** seems to be trending during the first 4 months of 2020 in China owing to hashtags such as *appledaily* and *appledailytw*.

Some of the languages such as Romanian and Vietnamese have shown **bitcoin** and cryptocurrency to be a hot topic of discussion. This was also seen in the Chinese language word cloud, but not as prominently. Another surprising observation is seen from the Finnish language where users post about the corona virus and gaming but also about *kirtan*, *gurbani* which are **religious** terms related




Figure 5: Language diversity in Mega-COV V0.1.




Figure 6: Word clouds for hashtags in tweets from the top 10 languages in the data. We note that tweets in non-English can still carry English hashtags or employ Latin script.

to Sikh religion.

7 Domain Sharing Analysis

Domains in URLs shared by users also provide a window on what is share-worthy. We perform an analysis on domains shared in tweets.¹⁰ A comparison between the ranks of the top 40 domains in 2020 and their ranks in 2019 yields a number of observations, as follows:

News: We observe URLs with news organization domains are higher in rank in 2020. This is true for Canada where Canadian domains such as *cbc.ca*, *ctvnews.ca*, *theglobeandmail.com*, *thestar.com*, and *radio-canada.ca* are higher, but also international news such as *theguardian.com* and *nytimes.com* have jumped at least 10 positions and *cnn.com* and *apple.news* a whopping 26 and 252 positions respectively. The U.S. twittersphere shows a similar trend, with *cnn.com*, *nytimes.com*, *washingtonpost.com*, *foxnews.com* showing in the top 40 domains, jumping 25, 15, 19, and 48 positions

¹⁰We note that the same analysis could also be performed on re-tweets and replies, which we intend to carry out.

respectively. It is striking that *foxnews.com* has moved from a rank of 81 in 2019 to 33 in 2020 with the 48 positions jump. We note a somewhat similar international trend, with sites such as *leb-newsonline.com* and *theguardian.com* rising much higher in rank.

Other domains: Other noteworthy domain activities including those related to gaming, video and music, and social media tools where ranks of these domains have not necessarily shifted higher but remain prominent. This shows these themes still being relevant in 2020. In spite of the economic impact of the pandemic, shopping domains such as *etsy.me* and *poshmark.com* have markedly risen in rank as people moved to shopping online in more significant ways.

8 Case Study: Mapping Human Mobility with Mega-COV V0.1

Geo-location information in Mega-COV V0.1 can be used to characterize and track human mobility in various ways. We investigate some of these next.

2019		2020	
BellLetsTalk	26928	COVID19	198512
GoHabsGo	20776	coronavirus	154175
NewProfilePic	19134	NowPlaying	134088
cdnpoli	13786	shopmycloset	121629
shopmycloset	13040	NintendoSwitch	73590
art	11413	NewProfilePic	71498
love	11271	AnimalCrossing	60818
PS4live	10864	ACNH	60232
yeg	10285	GoHabsGo	52285
realestate	9855	cdnpoli	42309
photography	9124	COVID-19	39982
Toronto	8506	PS4share	38130
travel	8480	Coronavirus	36083
music	8455	BellLetsTalk	35394
Repost	8117	covid19	33725
fashion	7967	fashion	32201
PS4share	7692	Covid_19	31668
Canada	7605	PS4live	29811
toronto	7370	music	28361
Vancouver	6235	nowplaying	28045
onpoli	6131	style	27148
canada	6097	love	26468
winter	5767	yeg	25662
twitch	5719	poshmark	24781
Oscars	5643	art	24050
style	5561	SocialDistancing	23742
yyj	5311	Canada	22091
NintendoSwitch	5164	SoundCloud	21896
vancouver	5163	Toronto	21293
yyc	5131	grambling_rys20	21026

Table 4: Top 30 hashtags in Mega-COV V0.1 for 2019 vs. 2020.

8.1 Inter-Region Mobility

Mega-COV V0.1 can be exploited to generate responsive maps where end users can check mobility patterns between different regions over time. In particular, geo-location information can show mobility patterns between regions. As an illustration of this use case, we provide Figure 7. the Figure shows mobility between different Canadian cities (Figure 7a) and U.S. states (Figure 7b) during Jan.-April 2020.

8.2 User Home Location

We also use information in Mega-COV V0.1 to map each user to a single home region (i.e., city, state/province, and country). We follow geo-location literature in setting a condition that a user must have posted at least 10 tweets from a given region. However, we also condition that at least 60% of all user tweets must have been posted from the same region.¹¹ For all the analyses in the sections to follow, we exclusively use data from users we successfully located using our method described above (henceforth, *located users*).

8.3 Inter-Region Mobility Over Time

We exploit Mega-COV V0.1 to show inter-state/province mobility during a given window of time. Here, due to increased posting in 2020, we normalize the number of visits between states by

¹¹We will provide a table with the distribution of users over global locations we could map them to in the next release.

the total number of all tweets posted during 2020. Figure 8 shows user mobility *between* different Canadian provinces over each of the Jan.-April months during 2020. As a general pattern, as the various provinces went in lockdown, starting from early/mid-March, user mobility drops noticeably leading to a much quieter April activity.

Figure 9 shows mobility between different U.S. states. The figure shows a clear change from higher mobility in Jan. and Feb. to much less activity in March and especially April. Clear differences can be seen in key states where the pandemic has hit hard such as New York (NY) and California (CA), and to some extent Washington State (WA).

8.4 User Weekly Mobility

We can also visualize user mobility as a distance from an average mobility score on a weekly basis. Namely, we calculate an *average weekly mobility score* for the year 2019 using geo-tag information (longitude and latitude) and use it as a *baseline* against which we plot user mobility for each week of 2019 and 2020 up until April. In general, we observe a drop in user mobility in Canada starting from mid-March. For U.S. users, we notice a very high mobility surge starting around end of Feb. and early March, only waning down the last week of March and continuing in April. For both the U.S. and Canada, we hypothesize the surge in early March (much more noticeable in the U.S.) is a result of people moving back to their hometowns, returning from travels, moving for basic need stocking, etc.

8.5 Intra-Region Mobility

We can exploit the data to plot user mobility between two or more points based on geo-tagged tweets within the same region, thus painting a more detailed picture. As an illustration, Figure 11 shows user monthly mobility within New York State during 2020. The Figure shows the surge in activity in March 2020 we discuss in the previous section.

9 Related Works

9.1 Twitter in emergency and crisis:

Social media can play a useful role in disaster and emergency since they provide a mechanism for wide information dissemination and their content can be mined for prompt action (Simon et al., 2015). For example, in the Typhoon Haiyan in the Philippines Twitter was used for dissemination of




Figure 7: Inter-region mobility in Mega-COV V0.1 Canada and U.S. data (Jan.-April 2020).




Figure 8: User mobility *between* Canadian provinces during Jan.-April 2020

second-hand information, aiding relief efforts, and condolence to the victims (Takahashi et al., 2015). Prior to an emergency, Twitter can also be useful for preparedness and early warning. Carley et al. (2016) studied the potential value of Twitter for the warning and response to Tsunami in Padang Indonesia, showing it could be used to support pre-disaster management as it contained information about mobility, population, linguistic needs, and local opinion leaders in different regions, which could all contribute to the construction of an early response system. Verma et al. (2019) also studies the effectiveness of social media in disaster response and recovery in context to the Nepal 2015 earthquakes, making a comparison with the conventional newspapers and concluding that social media such as twitter and the news article share complementary perspectives that form a holistic view. Marx et al. (2020) studied the different strate-

gies media organisations followed during a disaster such as Harvey Hurricane. They identified three sense-giving strategies: retweeting of local in-house outlets, bound amplification of messages of individual to the organisation associated journalists, and open message amplification.

A number of works have focused on developing systems for emergency response. For example, McCreadie et al. (2019) produce a series of curated feeds of social media posts where a particular type of information request is mapped to feeds. They also make use of a ‘criticality’ score which represents how important it is that a user be shown a given post. They use Twitter feeds to present 6 categories of event: *wildfire*, *earthquake*, *flood*, *typhoon/hurricane*, *bombing*, and *shooting* to tackle irrelevant or off-topic content.




Figure 9: User mobility *between* U.S. states during Jan.-April 2020

9.2 Twitter Datasets for COVID-19


Several works have focused on creating datasets for enabling COVID-19 research. To the best of our knowledge, all these works depend on a list of hashtags related to COVID-19 and focus on a given period of time. For example, [Chen et al. \(2020\)](#) started collecting tweets on Jan. 22nd and continued updating by actively tracking a list of 22 popular keywords such as *#Coronavirus*, *#Corona*, and *#Wuhancoronavirus*. They also crawled data from 8 related accounts such as *PneumoniaWuhan*, *CoronaVirusInfo*, and *V2019N*. As of Apr 23rd, the authors have released a total of 67M million English tweets 101M non-English tweets. [Singh et al. \(2020\)](#) collect a dataset covering Jan. 16th 2020-March 15th 2020 using a list of hashtags such as *#2019nCoV*, *#ChinaPneumonia* and *#ChinesePneumonia*, for a total of 2.8M tweets, $\sim 18M$ re-tweets, and $\sim 457K$ direct conversations. Using location information on the data, authors report that tweets strongly correlated with newly identified cases in these locations. More precisely, they state that, for the located conversations, the pattern of volume changes led the COVID-19 cases by 2-5 days in the United States, Italy and China. They suggest that this pattern would be helpful to predict the outbreak of cases.

Similarly, [Alqurashi et al. \(2020\)](#) use a list of keywords and hashtags related to Covid-19 with Twitters streaming API to collect a dataset of Arabic tweets. The dataset covers the period of March 1st 2020-March 30th 2020 and is at 4M tweets. The


authors goal is to help researchers and policy makers study the various societal issues prevailing due to the pandemic. Authors note that the number of re-tweets increased significantly in late March. In the same vein, [Lopez et al. \(2020\)](#) also collect a dataset of $\sim 6.5M$ in multiple languages, with English accounting for $\sim 63.4\%$ of the data. The dataset covers Jan. 22nd 2020-March 2020. Analyzing the data, authors observe the level of re-tweets to rise abruptly as the crisis ramped up in Europe in late February and early March.

9.3 Misinformation About COVID-19

Misinformation can spread fast during disaster, and especially during health outbreaks. Social data have been used to study rumors and various types of fake information related to the Zika ([Ghenai and Mejova, 2017](#)) and Ebola ([Kalyanam et al., 2015](#)) viruses. In the context of COVID-19, a number of works have focused on investigating the effect of misinformation on mental health ([Rosenberg et al., 2020](#)), the types, sources, claims, and responses of a number of pieces of misinformation about COVID-19 ([Brennen et al., 2020](#)), the propagation pattern of rumours about COVID-19 on Twitter and Weibo [Do et al. \(2019\)](#), the check-worthiness (i.e., whether or not a piece of textual information is critical enough to be checked for veracity) [Wright and Augenstein \(2020\)](#), modeling the spread of misinformation and related networks about the pandemic [Cinelli et al. \(2020\)](#); [Osho et al. \(2020\)](#); [Pierri et al. \(2020\)](#); [Koubaa \(2020\)](#), estimating the rate of misinformation in COVID-19 associated



(a) Canada users



(b) U.S. users

Figure 10: Canadian and American user *weekly* mobility during 2019-2020. Each point (a week) is modeled as a mobility distance from weekly average mobility in 2019.

tweets [Kouzy et al. \(2020\)](#), the use of bots ([Ferrara, 2020](#)), and predicting whether a user is COVID19 positive or negative ([Karisani and Karisani, 2020](#)).

[Singh et al. \(2020\)](#) examine the quality of shared links in tweets by identifying a set of ‘reputable’ and ‘questionable’ domains which comes from top medical journals, hospitals and official recommendations, and a set of questionable domains which are created by *NewsGuard*. They found that the number of useful shared links is about the same as the misleading links. They identify a list of ‘top’ 5 common ‘myths’: *Origin of COVID-19, flu comparison, home remedies, heat kills disease, and vaccine development* from the search phrase “Coronavirus common myths”. By matching the phrases and words in tweets with the broad descriptions of myths from Google search, they discover over 16,000 tweets containing these myths, which was a small fraction of Twitter content. Authors also identify the top 10 most frequent words in their dataset, including words such as *China, people, cases, Wuhan, and Coronavirus*. They also identify the top 8 most prevalent themes in their

data as *healthcare/illness, global nature, information providers, government response, individual concerns/strategies, emotion, and social* through grouping frequent words in Twitter conversations.

[Sharma et al. \(2020\)](#) collect a dataset of 30.8M tweets from 182 countries out of which the majority is English speaking, with English language in the data making up 20.5M tweets. The data cover March 1st 2020-March 30th 2020. Analyzing their data, authors observe a 5.58% spike new users during the period from November 2019-March 2020. Authors also perform some initial analyses on their dataset, including to identify fake stories, topical distribution, and sentiment analysis aiming at understanding perception of the public towards the pandemic.

9.4 Racism and Hate Speech in COVID-19

Just as coronavirus spread fast in the world, hate speech towards certain communities is also spreading fast. [Devakumar et al. \(2020\)](#) raises the concern that discrimination towards ethnic minority groups like colored people and immigrants could lead to




Figure 11: User monthly mobility within New York State.

a higher risk of infection for these groups due to their limited access to medical resources and the lack of social protection. The rise of fake news has also worsened the problem of discrimination. A number of works have focused on related phenomena. For example, [Schild et al. \(2020\)](#) identify an increase in Sinophobic behaviour on the web and that its spread is a cross-platform phenomenon. Similarly, [Shimizu \(2020\)](#) find that xenophobia towards Chinese people spread in Japan due to a piece of misinformation stating that “Chinese passengers from Wuhan with fever slipped through the quarantine at Kansai International Airport” and the hashtag *#ChineseDon’tComeToJapan* trending in Twitter. Despite WHO officially naming coronavirus as COVID-19, use of controversial terms such as Chinese Virus, Wuhan Virus. [Lyu et al. \(2020\)](#) reports work to predict twitter users who are more likely to use controversial terms related to the COVID-19 crisis.

9.5 Emotional Response in COVID-19

To investigate emotional response to COVID-19, [Kleinberg et al. \(2020\)](#) collected and analyzed the *Real World Worry Dataset*, a dataset comprising 2,500 participants’ indications of worry level and emotion type, and their written long and short texts about their emotional states. Unlike other works, this dataset is not from Twitter but rather is collected via the crowd-sourcing platform Pro-

lific. Participants express their level of worry and emotion (anger, anxiety, desire, disgust, fear, happiness, relaxation, and sadness) in written form (short and long) that is matched with a 9-point scale. Using use a lexicon (LWIC2015), authors find significantly high correlations of worrying thoughts in long texts with the categories “family” and “friends”. Topic models revealed the prevalent topics for short texts are related to government ‘slogans’ and suggesting social distancing for others, whereas common topics for long texts are lockdown and worries about employment and the economy. Authors also point out that participants tended to use short texts (tweet-sized) to call for solidarity and long texts to show their actual worries about family and friends.

10 Ethical Considerations

We collect Mega-COV from the public domain (Twitter). In compliance with Twitter policy, we do not publish hydrated tweet content. Rather, we only publish publicly available tweet IDs. All Twitter policies, including respect and protection of user privacy, apply. We encourage all researchers who decide to use Mega-COV to review Twitter policy at <https://developer.twitter.com/en/developer-terms/policy> before they start working with the data.

11 Conclusion

We presented Mega-COV, a billion-scale dataset of 65 languages for studying global response to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. In addition to being large and highly multilingual, our dataset comprises data long pre-dating the pandemic. This allows for comparisons over time. We have provided initial analyses of the data, with a focus on potential use of investigating human mobility. We hope our dataset will be useful for accelerating research on the topic.

Acknowledgements

MAM acknowledges the support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), the Social Sciences Research Council of Canada (SSHRC), and Compute Canada (www.computecanada.ca).

References

- Sarah Alqurashi, Ahmad Alhindi, and Eisa Alanazi. 2020. Large arabic twitter dataset on covid-19. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.04315*.
- J Scott Brennen, Felix M Simon, Philip N Howard, and Rasmus Kleis Nielsen. 2020. Types, sources, and claims of covid-19 misinformation. *Reuters Institute*.
- Kathleen M Carley, Momin Malik, Peter M Landwehr, Jürgen Pfeffer, and Michael Kowalchuck. 2016. Crowd sourcing disaster management: The complex nature of twitter usage in padang indonesia. *Safety science*, 90:48–61.
- Emily Chen, Kristina Lerman, and Emilio Ferrara. 2020. Covid-19: The first public coronavirus twitter dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.07372*.
- Matteo Cinelli, Walter Quattrociocchi, Alessandro Galeazzi, Carlo Michele Valensise, Emanuele Brugnoni, Ana Lucia Schmidt, Paola Zola, Fabiana Zollo, and Antonio Scala. 2020. The covid-19 social media infodemic. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.05004*.
- Delan Devakumar, Geordan Shannon, Sunil S Bhopal, and Ibrahim Abubakar. 2020. Racism and discrimination in covid-19 responses. *Lancet (London, England)*, 395(10231):1194.
- Tien Huu Do, Xiao Luo, Duc Minh Nguyen, and Nikos Deligiannis. 2019. Rumour detection via news propagation dynamics and user representation learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.03042*.
- Emilio Ferrara. 2020. # covid-19 on twitter: Bots, conspiracies, and social media activism. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.09531*.
- Amira Ghenai and Yelena Mejova. 2017. Catching zika fever: Application of crowdsourcing and machine learning for tracking health misinformation on twitter. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.03778*.
- Janani Kalyanam, Sumithra Velupillai, Son Doan, Mike Conway, and Gert Lanckriet. 2015. Facts and fabrications about ebola: A twitter based study. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.02079*.
- Negin Karisani and Payam Karisani. 2020. Mining coronavirus (covid-19) posts in social media. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.06778*.
- Bennett Kleinberg, Isabelle van der Vegt, and Maximilian Mozes. 2020. Measuring emotions in the covid-19 real world worry dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.04225*.
- Anis Koubaa. 2020. Understanding the covid19 outbreak: A comparative data analytics and study. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.14150*.
- Ramez Kouzy, Joseph Abi Jaoude, Afif Kraitem, Molly B El Alam, Basil Karam, Elio Adib, Jabra Zarka, Cindy Traboulsi, Elie W Akl, and Khalil Baddour. 2020. Coronavirus goes viral: Quantifying the covid-19 misinformation epidemic on twitter. *Cureus*, 12(3).
- Christian E Lopez, Malolan Vasu, and Caleb Gallemore. 2020. Understanding the perception of covid-19 policies by mining a multilanguage twitter dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.10359*.
- Hanjia Lyu, Long Chen, Yu Wang, and Jiebo Luo. 2020. Sense and sensibility: Characterizing social media users regarding the use of controversial terms for covid-19. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.06307*.
- Julian Marx, Milad Mirbabaie, and Christian Ehnis. 2020. Sense-giving strategies of media organisations in social media disaster communication: Findings from hurricane harvey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.08567*.
- Richard McCreadie, Cody Buntain, and Ian Soboroff. 2019. Trec incident streams: Finding actionable information on social media. *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Information Systems for Crisis Response and Management, Valencia, Spain*.
- Abiola Osho, Caden Waters, and George Amariuca. 2020. An information diffusion approach to rumor propagation and identification on twitter. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.11104*.
- Francesco Pierri, Carlo Piccardi, and Stefano Ceri. 2020. Topology comparison of twitter diffusion networks effectively reveals misleading information. *Scientific reports*, 10(1):1–9.
- Hans Rosenberg, Shahbaz Syed, and Salim Rezaie. 2020. The twitter pandemic: The critical role of twitter in the dissemination of medical information

and misinformation during the covid-19 pandemic. *Canadian Journal of Emergency Medicine*, pages 1–7.

Leonard Schild, Chen Ling, Jeremy Blackburn, Gianluca Stringhini, Yang Zhang, and Savvas Zannettou. 2020. ” go eat a bat, chang! ”: An early look on the emergence of sinophobic behavior on web communities in the face of covid-19. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.04046*.

Karishma Sharma, Sungyong Seo, Chuizheng Meng, Sirisha Rambhatla, Aastha Dua, and Yan Liu. 2020. Coronavirus on social media: Analyzing misinformation in twitter conversations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.12309*.

Kazuki Shimizu. 2020. 2019-ncov, fake news, and racism. *The Lancet*, 395(10225):685–686.

Tomer Simon, Avishay Goldberg, and Bruria Adini. 2015. Socializing in emergenciesa review of the use of social media in emergency situations. *International Journal of Information Management*, 35(5):609–619.

Lisa Singh, Shweta Bansal, Leticia Bode, Ceren Budak, Guangqing Chi, Kornraphop Kawintiranon, Colton Padden, Rebecca Vanarsdall, Emily Vraga, and Yanchen Wang. 2020. A first look at covid-19 information and misinformation sharing on twitter. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.13907*.

Bruno Takahashi, Edson C Tandoc Jr, and Christine Carmichael. 2015. Communicating on twitter during a disaster: An analysis of tweets during typhoon haiyan in the philippines. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 50:392–398.

Rakesh Verma, Samaneh Karimi, Daniel Lee, Omprakash Gnawali, and Azadeh Shakery. 2019. Newswire versus social media for disaster response and recovery. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.10607*.

WHO. 2020. Who statement regarding cluster of pneumonia cases in wuhan, china. *Beijing: WHO*, 9.

Dustin Wright and Isabelle Augenstein. 2020. Fact check-worthiness detection as positive unlabelled learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.02736*.